

# Mediterranean-type diet and brain structural change from 73 to 76 years in a Scottish cohort

OPEN

Michelle Luciano, PhD  
 Janie Corley, PhD  
 Simon R. Cox, PhD  
 Maria C. Valdés  
 Hernández, PhD  
 Leone C.A. Craig, PhD  
 David Alexander Dickie,  
 PhD  
 Sherif Karama, MD, PhD  
 Geraldine M. McNeill,  
 PhD  
 Mark E. Bastin, PhD  
 Joanna M. Wardlaw,  
 MD, FRCP, FRCR  
 Ian J. Deary, PhD

Correspondence to  
 Dr. Luciano:  
[michelle.luciano@ed.ac.uk](mailto:michelle.luciano@ed.ac.uk)

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To assess the association between Mediterranean-type diet (MeDi) and change in brain MRI volumetric measures and mean cortical thickness across a 3-year period in older age (73–76 years).

**Methods:** We focused on 2 longitudinal brain volumes (total and gray matter;  $n = 401$  and  $398$ , respectively) plus a longitudinal measurement of cortical thickness ( $n = 323$ ), for which the previous cross-sectional evidence of an association with the MeDi was strongest. Adherence to the MeDi was calculated from data gathered from a food frequency questionnaire at age 70, 3 years prior to the baseline imaging data collection.

**Results:** In regression models adjusting for relevant demographic and physical health indicators, we found that lower adherence to the MeDi was associated with greater 3-year reduction in total brain volume (explaining 0.5% of variance,  $p < 0.05$ ). This effect was half the size of the largest covariate effect (i.e., age). Cross-sectional associations between MeDi and baseline MRI measures in 562 participants were not significant. Targeted analyses of meat and fish consumption did not replicate previous associations with total brain volume or total gray matter volume.

**Conclusions:** Lower adherence to the MeDi in an older Scottish cohort is predictive of total brain atrophy over a 3-year interval. Fish and meat consumption does not drive this change, suggesting that other components of the MeDi or, possibly, all of its components in combination are responsible for the association. *Neurology*® 2017;88:1–7

## GLOSSARY

**BMI** = body mass index; **GMV** = gray matter volume; **ICV** = intracranial volume; **MeDi** = Mediterranean-type diet; **MMSE** = Mini-Mental State Examination; **NART** = National Adult Reading Test; **TBV** = total brain volume.

Understanding the contributors to healthy aging is an important task, especially if such contributors are modifiable. Diet is modifiable and literature documenting the relationship between a healthy diet and good physical health abounds.<sup>1</sup> One focus of dietary research has been on the potential merits of a Mediterranean-type diet (MeDi): high consumption of fruit, vegetables, legumes, and cereals, olive oil as the primary source of fat, moderate consumption of fish, low to moderate intake of dairy products and wine (accompanying meals), and low intake of red meat and poultry. Increased adherence to the MeDi has been linked with lower inflammation, better cognitive function, and reduced risk of Parkinson disease and Alzheimer disease and mortality from cardiovascular disease and cancer.<sup>2–6</sup>

Studies of older individuals have shown associations between higher adherence to the MeDi and larger MRI-based brain volumes and cortical thickness.<sup>7–9</sup> The largest study<sup>7</sup> found that closer adherence to the MeDi in 468 multiethnic Americans without mild cognitive impairment


Supplemental data  
 at [Neurology.org](http://Neurology.org)

From the Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology (M.L., J.C., S.R.C., I.J.D.), Department of Psychology (M.L., J.C., S.R.C., I.J.D.), Brain Research Imaging Centre (M.C.V.H., D.A.D., M.E.B., J.M.W.), Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences (M.C.V.H., D.A.D., M.E.B., J.M.W.), and Scottish Imaging Network, A Platform for Scientific Excellence (SINAPSE) Collaboration, Department of Neuroimaging Sciences (S.R.C., M.C.V.H., D.A.D., M.E.B., J.M.W.), University of Edinburgh; Rowett Institute of Nutrition and Health (L.C.A.C.) and The Institute of Applied Health Sciences (G.M.M.), University of Aberdeen, UK; and Montreal Neurological Institute and Hospital and Douglas Mental Health University Institute (S.K.), McGill University, Canada.

Go to [Neurology.org](http://Neurology.org) for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article. The Article Processing Charge was paid by the RCUK UK Open Access Fund.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0 (CC BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Figure** Stages of data collection and sample size of dietary and MRI data in the Lothian Birth Cohort of 1936



(mean 80.1 years) was associated with larger (intracranial volume-adjusted) brain volumes. When examining individual food groups, their results indicated that higher fish and lower meat intake were the primary contributors to the observed effects on brain structure. Because their sample was cross-sectional, they could not test for an association with brain loss over time. In the present study, structural brain imaging data were available at 2 time-points over an interval of roughly 3 years, enabling us to measure the prospective association between the MeDi and meat and fish consumption on multiple indices of brain structural aging.

**METHODS Participants.** The Lothian Birth Cohort of 1936 comprises 1,091 participants (49.8% women), all born in 1936, in the Edinburgh region of Scotland, most of whom had completed a mental ability test when they were aged 11 years.<sup>10</sup> They were aged approximately 70 years at the baseline collection of cognitive and health data. Dietary data were collected at baseline (wave 1) by postal return of questionnaire in 967 participants; 124 were returned blank or not at all. Approximately 3 and 6

years later—waves 2 and 3—the still-available, able, and willing participants underwent a structural MRI brain scan; of those with dietary data, volumetric data were gathered for 562 individuals at wave 2 and 401 at wave 3<sup>11</sup>; see the figure. Age at first MRI scan was 72.65 ( $\pm 0.72$ ) years and age at second brain scan was 76.36 ( $\pm 0.64$ ) years; henceforth, these are referred to as 73 and 76, respectively. Individuals lived independently in the community, were relatively healthy, and were free from a diagnosis of dementia at recruitment. Cognitive assessments were made at all 3 timepoints; that is, 2004–2007 (wave 1), 2007–2010 (wave 2), and 2011–2014 (wave 3).

**Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents.** Ethics permission was obtained from the Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee for Scotland (wave 1: MREC/01/0/56), the Lothian Research Ethics Committee (wave 1: LREC/2003/2/29), and the Scotland A Research Ethics Committee (waves 2, 3: 07/MRE00/58).

**Mediterranean diet.** Data from the Scottish Collaborative Group 168-item Food Frequency Questionnaire, version 7,<sup>12,13</sup> were used to construct the MeDi score. Exclusions were made for incomplete data (39 had >10 missing items) and for individuals with extreme energy intakes (<2.5th or >97.5th centile,  $n = 46$ ) to obtain the most reliable food frequency data.<sup>12</sup> For scoring of the MeDi, we closely followed accepted procedure.<sup>14</sup> Briefly, individuals were given a value of 1 for each beneficial food component (fruit, vegetables, legumes, cereal, and fish) and a value of 0 for each detrimental component (meat, dairy). The ratio of daily consumption (in grams) of monounsaturated fatty acids to saturated fatty acids was a further beneficial component. Caloric-adjusted sex-specific medians were used as the boundary defining low and high consumption for each of the components. For beneficial components, scores at or above the median were assigned a value of 1, whereas for detrimental components, scores at or above the median were given a value of 0. Moderate alcohol consumption was another positively scored component. It was defined for men as between 10 and 50 g alcohol per day and for women between 5 and 25 g per day. The MeDi score (range 0–9) was calculated by summing the scores for each of the components, with higher scores indicating higher MeDi adherence.

**Covariates.** Education was defined in terms of number of years of full-time education completed. At each test wave, height and weight were clinically measured (to calculate body mass index [BMI]), medical history (stroke, cardiovascular disease, high blood pressure, diabetes) was self-reported at interview, general cognitive ability was indexed by the first unrotated principal component from a battery of cognitive tests,<sup>15</sup> premorbid IQ was estimated by the National Adult Reading Test (NART),<sup>16</sup> and current cognitive impairment was assessed by the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE).<sup>17</sup> *APOE* genotyping was performed on genomic DNA isolated from whole blood using TaqMan technology at the Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility Genetics Core, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, UK. *APOE*  $\epsilon 4$  allele absence vs presence was used in this study.

**MRI.** The MRI acquisition and processing has been reported previously.<sup>11</sup> Briefly, a GE (Cleveland, OH) Signa 1.5 T HDXT clinical scanner was used to collect structural T2-, T2\*-, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery-, and T1-weighted brain MRI data at both waves. Intracranial volume (ICV) encompassed matter within the inner skull table containing venous sinuses and with a lower limit demarcated as the axial slice just inferior to the inferior boundary of the cerebellar tonsils and on or superior to

**Table 1** Demographic, health, cognitive, and brain MRI profile of the full cohort and grouped by lower vs higher adherence to the Mediterranean-type diet (MeDi)

	Total <sup>a</sup>	Lower MeDi (0–4) <sup>b</sup>	Higher MeDi (5–9) <sup>c</sup>	p Value <sup>d</sup>
No.	562	287	275	
<b>Demographics</b>				
Age, y, mean ± SD	72.65 ± 0.72	72.69 ± 0.70	72.61 ± 0.73	0.176
Education, y, mean ± SD	10.82 ± 1.11	10.80 ± 1.13	10.83 ± 1.09	0.766
Female, n (%)	269 (47.9)	144 (50.0)	125 (45.4)	0.300
APOE ε4, n (%)	155 (28.8) (n = 538)	66 (24.4) (n = 270)	89 (33.2) (n = 268)	0.032 <sup>e</sup>
Stroke, n (%)	21 (3.7)	8 (2.8)	13 (4.7)	0.322
Diabetes, n (%)	39 (6.9)	17 (5.9)	22 (8.0)	0.422
Hypertension, n (%)	212 (37.7)	104 (36.2)	108 (39.3)	0.512
Cardiovascular disease, n (%)	123 (21.9)	55 (19.2)	68 (24.7)	0.134
BMI, mean ± SD	27.50 ± 4.15	27.28 ± 4.27	27.72 ± 4.00	0.211
<b>Cognitive, mean ± SD</b>				
NART	35.31 ± 7.75	34.85 ± 7.88	35.78 ± 7.61	0.154
Cognitive ability	0.18 ± 0.95 (n = 553)	0.15 ± 0.94 (n = 280)	0.22 ± 0.96 (n = 273)	0.400
MMSE	28.91 ± 1.32	28.95 ± 1.24	28.88 ± 1.40	0.545
<b>Brain structure, mean ± SD</b>				
<b>Age 73 y</b>				
TBV, mL	989.52 ± 88.06	981.85 ± 87.15	997.52 ± 88.44	0.035 <sup>e</sup>
GMV, mL	472.28 ± 44.17	468.41 ± 43.83	476.32 ± 44.23	0.034 <sup>e</sup>
ICV, mL	1,436.13 ± 133.81	1,426.40 ± 129.84	1,446.28 ± 137.33	0.079
Mean cortical thickness, mm	3.11 ± 0.14 (n = 500)	3.11 ± 0.15 (n = 259)	3.12 ± 0.13 (n = 241)	0.335
<b>Age 76 y</b>				
TBV, mL	973.41 ± 89.09	966.10 ± 87.69	981.14 ± 90.13	0.091
GMV, mL	465.11 ± 43.22	460.84 ± 43.05	469.65 ± 43.06	0.042 <sup>e</sup>
ICV, mL	1436.44 ± 135.74	1,429.28 ± 132.47	1,444.01 ± 139.06	0.279
Mean cortical thickness, mm	3.07 ± 0.15 (n = 346)	3.06 ± 0.15 (n = 175)	3.09 ± 0.14 (n = 171)	0.026 <sup>e</sup>
<b>Age 73–76 y change</b>				
TBV, mL	18.89 ± 19.02	20 ± 20.38	17.72 ± 17.44	0.230
GMV, mL	9.19 ± 14.47	9.35 ± 13.86	9.02 ± 15.12	0.821
Mean cortical thickness, mm	0.05 ± 0.10 (n = 323)	0.06 ± 0.1 (n = 166)	0.04 ± 0.11 (n = 157)	0.090

Abbreviations: BMI = body mass index; GMV = gray matter volume; ICV = intracranial volume; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; NART = National Adult Reading Test; TBV = total brain volume.

Demographic and cognitive measures were those measured at baseline.

<sup>a</sup>At age 76, no. for MRI was 398–401.

<sup>b</sup>At age 76, no. for MRI was 205–206.

<sup>c</sup>At age 76, no. for MRI was 193–195.

<sup>d</sup>p Values estimated from  $\chi^2$  for binary traits and independent group t test for continuous traits.

<sup>e</sup>Significant.

the superior tip of the odontoid process. A semi-automatic method using T2\*-weighted sequence was used to measure ICV with a first estimate gathered automatically using Analyze 9.0 (via the Object Extraction Tool). The cervical spinal cord inferior to the inferior boundary was then manually removed with the pituitary gland (if it had been included). Total brain volume (TBV) represented the difference in volume of the CSF, venous sinuses and meninges, and ICV. Gray matter volume (GMV) was extracted by subtracting CSF, white matter, and white matter hyperintensities from ICV. Variation in head size

between individuals was controlled for by using residual scores from the regression of ICV on brain volumes, hereafter referred to as ICV-corrected. We measured cortical thickness using the fully automated CIVET image processing pipeline and qualitative quality control procedures developed at the Montreal Neurologic Institute.<sup>18</sup> CIVET measures cortical thickness at 81,924 vertices (points where the perpendicular distance between gray matter and white matter surfaces is measured) across the cortex. For clarity, we refer to vertex as the points where cortical thickness is measured, not the cranial vertex. We

**Table 2** Unstandardized  $\beta$  coefficients (SE) and  $p$  values for the effect of the Mediterranean-type diet on structural brain MRI measures from model 1 (adjusted for age and sex), model 2 (adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, diabetes, stroke, blood pressure, cardiovascular disease, education, and National Adult Reading Test), and model 3 (adjusted for age, sex, education, body mass index, diabetes, cognitive ability, and Mini-Mental State Examination)

	TBV		GMV		Mean CT	
	$\beta$ (SE)	$p$ Value	$\beta$ (SE)	$p$ Value	$\beta$ (SE)	$p$ Value
<b>Age 73 y MRI</b>						
Model 1	0.673 (0.709)	0.343	0.304 (0.569)	0.594	0.001 (0.003)	0.779
Model 2	0.568 (0.703)	0.420	0.321 (0.567)	0.571	0.001 (0.003)	0.856
Model 3 <sup>a</sup>	0.340 (0.678)	0.616	0.182 (0.553)	0.742	0.000 (0.003)	0.986
<b>Age 76 y MRI</b>						
Model 1	1.547 (0.829)	0.063	1.076 (0.679)	0.114	0.006 (0.004)	0.160
Model 2	1.430 (0.823)	0.083	0.924 (0.680)	0.175	0.005 (0.004)	0.239
Model 3 <sup>b</sup>	1.313 (0.789)	0.097	0.864 (0.659)	0.191	0.005 (0.004)	0.284
<b>Age 73 to 76 y MRI Change</b>						
Model 1	1.136 (0.481) <sup>c</sup>	0.019 <sup>c</sup>	0.533 (0.378)	0.159	0.005 (0.003)	0.117
Model 2	1.160 (0.490) <sup>c</sup>	0.018 <sup>c,d</sup>	0.533 (0.379)	0.160	0.004 (0.003)	0.170
Model 3 <sup>b</sup>	0.976 (0.483) <sup>c</sup>	0.044 <sup>c,e</sup>	0.451 (0.383)	0.240	0.004 (0.003)	0.198

Abbreviations: CT = cortical thickness; GMV = gray matter volume; TBV = total brain volume.

<sup>a</sup>Minus 4 participants due to missing cognitive ability data.

<sup>b</sup>Minus 12–15 participants due to missing cognitive ability data.

<sup>c</sup>Significant.

<sup>d</sup> $p = 0.010$  When adjusting for *APOE*  $\epsilon 4$  status,  $\beta = 1.304$  (0.504).

<sup>e</sup> $p = 0.027$  When adjusting for *APOE*  $\epsilon 4$  status,  $\beta = 1.106$  (0.499) (minus 16 participants due to missing *APOE* genotyping data).

calculated mean cortical thickness for each participant as the mean of the 81,924 vertex values.

**Statistical analysis.** The main effect of MeDi on brain MRI measures at ages 73 and 76 years was tested using general linear regression where age at MRI testing and sex were controlled for in model 1, and where body mass index (BMI), diabetes, high blood pressure, history of stroke, cardiovascular disease history, years of education, and premorbid IQ were additionally controlled for in model 2. These covariates each showed a significant correlation with at least one of the dependent MRI measures. Education and premorbid IQ (which correlates 0.68 with actual childhood IQ in our sample<sup>19</sup> but was preferred due to nonmissing data) were considered as potential confounders because they might contribute to differences in diet.<sup>20</sup> Conversely, current cognitive impairment (Mini-Mental State Examination [MMSE]) and general cognitive ability were measured subsequent to diet, and are partly associated with brain change in this sample<sup>21</sup>; therefore, by adjusting for them, we would remove variation related to brain atrophy. In model 3, we included covariates that aligned best with the largest previously reported analysis of the MeDi and brain MRI measures<sup>7</sup>; these were age at MRI, sex, education, BMI, diabetes, general cognitive ability, and MMSE (to control for mild cognitive impairment). The covariates showed no sign of multicollinearity: the cognitive and education variables showed a maximum correlation of 0.53 with each other, and the variance inflation factor of the models was acceptable. *APOE*  $\epsilon 4$  presence, which might relate to variation in brain volume and cortical thickness,<sup>22,23</sup> was controlled for in supplementary analysis.

For change in MRI measures over time, the same models were repeated using the later MRI residual score (corrected for ICV) as the outcome variable but including the earlier MRI residual score

(corrected for age and ICV) as a covariate in all models. This had the effect of removing stable MRI variance across the 2 time-points, so that the remaining variance in the second MRI outcome measure reflected change and uncorrelated measurement error. The effect of meat and fish consumption on TBV and GMV was further tested to replicate previous findings.<sup>7,9,24</sup> For the analysis of MRI measures on level scores (ages 73 and 76 years), all covariates collected at that testing wave were used, with the exception of the NART, where the baseline (age 70) measure was considered to be the better estimate of premorbid IQ. For the analysis of MRI change, covariates collected at the later (age 76 years) brain scanning occasion were used, but again with the exception of the NART, where the baseline (age 70) measure was preferred.

**RESULTS** Table 1 shows the demographic, health, and cognitive profile of the full sample and grouped by lower and higher adherence to the MeDi at baseline collection. Whereas we provide significance tests for lower vs higher adherence to the MeDi, such results do not consider confounding from relevant covariates. They are shown primarily for comparison with a previous report<sup>7</sup>; regression analyses are performed using the continuous MeDi scale. The group with greater adherence to the MeDi had more carriers of *APOE*  $\epsilon 4$  alleles, and they showed greater TBV and GMV at age 73 years and greater GMV and mean cortical thickness at age 76 years. To test for bias due to sample attrition at wave 3, we compared the mean quantitative MeDi score between those who returned

**Table 3** Unstandardized  $\beta$  coefficients (SE) and  $p$  value for the effects of meat and fish consumption on level (age 73 years) and change (from age 73 to 76 years) in total brain volume and gray matter volume for model 1 (adjusted for age and sex), model 2 (adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, diabetes, stroke, blood pressure, cardiovascular disease, education, and National Adult Reading Test), and model 3 (adjusted for age, sex, education, body mass index, diabetes, cognitive ability, and Mini-Mental State Examination)

	TBV level		TBV change		GMV level		GMV change	
	$\beta$ (SE)	$p$ Value	$\beta$ (SE)	$p$ Value	$\beta$ (SE)	$p$ Value	$\beta$ (SE)	$p$ Value
<b>Meat</b>								
Model 1	-0.767 (1.569)	0.625	-1.302 (1.061)	0.220	-0.713 (1.274)	0.576	-0.629 (0.832)	0.451
Model 2	0.371 (1.566)	0.813	-1.475 (1.090)	0.177	0.090 (1.278)	0.944	-0.669 (0.841)	0.427
Model 3	-0.282 (1.496)	0.850	-1.204 (1.069)	0.261	-0.509 (1.236)	0.681	-0.528 (0.846)	0.533
<b>Fish</b>								
Model 1	—	—	—	—	-3.230 (2.027)	0.112	1.403 (1.293)	0.279
Model 2	—	—	—	—	-2.547 (2.042)	0.213	1.717 (1.310)	0.190
Model 3	—	—	—	—	-1.928 (1.988)	0.333	1.828 (1.328)	0.169

Abbreviations: GMV = gray matter volume; TBV = total brain volume.

for wave 3 testing ( $n = 402$ ) vs those who did not ( $n = 161$ ). No significant difference was found ( $t = -0.42$ ,  $df = 300.81$ ,  $p = 0.67$ ; mean difference of 0.06), nor were differences observed for demographic, cognitive, and health traits, except cognitive ability, where scores were higher ( $\approx 0.18$  of a SD) for individuals completing both testing waves ( $p = 0.046$ ). See table e-1 at [Neurology.org](http://Neurology.org) for detailed comparisons.

In the fully adjusted linear regression models (table 2), the only variable significantly associated with the MeDi was change in TBV (significant in all 3 models). Subsequent analyses of TBV models 2 and 3 adjusting for *APOE*  $\epsilon 4$  status produced a larger effect size, although this was based on 16 fewer cases due to missing *APOE* genotyping. The main models 2 and 3 showed respective MeDi standardized effects of 0.068 and 0.058, but, when controlling for *APOE*  $\epsilon 4$  presence, effects increased to 0.075 and 0.066, respectively. In model 2, the MeDi effect was the largest after age at MRI and sex and, in model 3, it showed the fifth largest effect. Specific effects of meat and fish consumption on TBV and GMV level (age 73 years) and change (age 76 controlling for age 73) were nonsignificant (table 3), even when accounting for *APOE*  $\epsilon 4$  status.

**DISCUSSION** Our study investigated MeDi adherence and brain change in older age. We found that greater adherence to the MeDi was associated with lower total brain atrophy over a 3-year period. MeDi was not associated with brain volume or mean cortical thickness measured at ages 73 and 76 years. Fish and meat consumption were not associated with TBV or GMV. Our finding might indicate that greater adherence to the MeDi is protective against total brain atrophy, explaining

0.5% of variance, an effect that was half the size of that due to normal aging in this sample. This effect was not detectable for GMV, suggesting that the effect on TBV was not a consequence of a larger specific influence on gray matter.

Our study also served as a replication of 3 previous studies that measured the effect of the MeDi on MRI measures at a single time point, so it is important to compare their results. Whereas associations between increased adherence to the MeDi and larger TBV, GMV, and WMV were previously reported,<sup>7</sup> null effects were also found for these same measures in cognitively healthy 75-year-olds from Sweden.<sup>9</sup> Using voxel-based morphometry, null findings for gray and white matter volumes in 146 older ( $\geq 65$  years) French participants were also reported.<sup>25</sup> Our findings are in line with the latter studies, which also focused on ethnically and age homogenous samples. The association between increased adherence to the MeDi and greater cortical thickness reported in a younger (mean age of 54 years) American sample of 52 cognitively healthy individuals<sup>8</sup> was not replicated in 2 studies (including the present study)<sup>7</sup> that were almost 10 times larger.

Whereas 2 previous studies<sup>7,9</sup> found that lower consumption of meat was associated with greater TBV (and also GMV<sup>7</sup>), we do not replicate this effect. Without information on the distribution of meat consumption in these samples, we cannot speculate whether the difference is due to less variation in the quantity of meat consumed or deviation in the type (e.g., poultry vs red; fresh vs processed) of meat consumed in our sample. The previous association between increased fish consumption and larger GMV<sup>7</sup> was again not replicated here. Differences in the type of fish (oily vs white) consumed between populations might be a factor for the discrepancy in



results. With regard to total brain atrophy in our Scottish sample, low meat and high fish intake does not underlie the observed effect of the MeDi. It might be the case that other specific dietary components have direct functional effects on total brain atrophy, but given the interdependency of dietary components, the task of identifying specific foods and potentially nutrients with such an effect is formidable.<sup>26</sup> Moreover, it has been argued that it is the additive effects of the contributing food components and the ways in which they interact (e.g., through food preparation) that offer increased benefits to health.<sup>27</sup>

In our sample, the *APOE*  $\epsilon 4$  allele (Alzheimer disease risk allele) frequency was higher in those who adhered more to the MeDi. None of our sample had been diagnosed with Alzheimer disease so disease progression was unlikely having an influence on diet. In our sensitivity analysis, which additionally adjusted for *APOE*  $\epsilon 4$  status, the effect of the MeDi on total brain atrophy became slightly larger. Interestingly, the effect size that we observed for MeDi was larger in the model without adjustment for current cognitive ability and potential dementia, an adjustment that removes part of the variance we are interested in predicting.

The strengths of our study were (1) the sample's age and ethnic homogeneity, which reduced associated confounding with brain change and diet; (2) the collection of MeDi 3 years prior to imaging measures, so that prospective, longer term effects could be evaluated; and (3) the availability of longitudinal imaging data to measure change in brain MRI measures. Potentially limiting is the relatively short time period between brain imaging measurements; a 3-year interval will not tap as much variation in brain change as would much longer intervals, resulting in a smaller detectable effect. Similarly, participants with better general cognitive ability completed repeat MRI testing, which may have constrained variation in associated brain volumes. A correction for multiple testing would deem our result nonsignificant, but the predictor variables were not independent and neither were the brain MRI outcome variables, thus, a correction for number of analyses performed would result in an overly conservative significance criterion. We prefer to focus on the effect size of our novel finding rather than the *p* value; additional studies, and ensuing meta-analyses, are needed to confirm whether the effect we report is reliable.

Our study shows a prospective protective association of the MeDi with brain atrophy in an ethnically and age-homogenous sample of relatively healthy older adults living in Scotland. Diet was measured 3 years before the first brain imaging measurement, so any neurologic disorders correlated with structural brain deficits are unlikely to be influencing diet

choice. This might suggest that the MeDi effect is causal. Given that the effect was significant when controlling for education and premorbid IQ also suggests that the MeDi is not simply a function of healthier lifestyle choice in more educated or intelligent individuals, who tend to have larger brain volumes.<sup>28</sup> A previous study of this cohort found that the association between Mediterranean diet (measured by a latent trait variable from a principal components analysis) and cognitive ability in older age was chiefly due to its relationship with childhood IQ.<sup>20</sup> Because we sampled diet at a single timepoint, we cannot confirm whether during the period of brain atrophy people were still adhering to the same diet. However, the stability of MeDi scores has been shown to be high over intervals of more than 7 years for those with and without dementia.<sup>6</sup> If our sample were not still adhering to this diet, that would only highlight the potentially long-lasting protective effects of the MeDi on brain structure during aging. If the stability of diet stretches back much further, then it is possible that diet sets the course for change in brain volume over time, although it does not affect level, which is presumably influenced more by static characteristics of the individual (e.g., genes). That the effect only operates on change is consistent with heritability studies showing that environmental influences (e.g., diet) on total brain volume change (57%) are larger than on level scores of total brain volume (estimates between 3% and 35%).<sup>29,30</sup> We cannot judge whether longer adherence to the MeDi is associated with greater protection against brain atrophy. Future studies incorporating measures of individuals' lifelong adherence to a particular dietary pattern are needed.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Michelle Luciano: specific study concept, data analysis, interpretation, write-up. Janie Corley, Leone C.A. Craig, Geraldine M. McNeill: food frequency data analysis, critical revision of manuscript for intellectual content. David Alexander Dickie, Simon R. Cox, Maria C. Valdés Hernández, Sherif Karama, Mark E. Bastin: imaging processing, critical revision of manuscript for intellectual content. Joanna M. Wardlaw, Ian J. Deary: full study design and concept, study management, critical revision of manuscript for intellectual content.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the Lothian Birth Cohort of 1936 participants and research staff and Dr. Alan C. Evans for input into the processing of cortical thickness data.

## STUDY FUNDING

The data were collected by a Research into Ageing programme grant; research continues as part of the Age UK-funded Disconnected Mind project. The work was undertaken by The University of Edinburgh Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, part of the cross-council Lifelong Health and Wellbeing Initiative (MR/K026992/1), with funding from the BBSRC and Medical Research Council. Imaging and image analysis was performed at the Brain Research Imaging Centre (sbirc.ed.ac.uk/), Edinburgh, supported by the Scottish Funding Council SINAPSE Collaboration. Derivation of mean cortical thickness measures was funded by the Scottish Funding Council's Postdoctoral and

## DISCLOSURE

The authors report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures.

Received April 15, 2016. Accepted in final form October 27, 2016.

## REFERENCES

- Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases: introduction. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 2003; 916:1–149.
- Sofi F, Macchi C, Abbate R, Gensini GF, Casini A. Mediterranean diet and health status: an updated meta-analysis and a proposal for a literature-based adherence score. Public Health Nutr 2014;17:2769–2782.
- Galland L. Diet and inflammation. Nutr Clin Pract 2010; 25:634–640.
- Luciano M, Mottus R, Starr JM, et al. Depressive symptoms and diet: their effects on prospective inflammation levels in the elderly. Brain Behav Immun 2012;26:717–720.
- Feart C, Samieri C, Barberger-Gateau P. Mediterranean diet and cognitive health: an update of available knowledge. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2015;18:51–62.
- Scarmeas N, Stern Y, Tang MX, Mayeux R, Luchsinger JA. Mediterranean diet and risk for Alzheimer's disease. Ann Neurol 2006;59:912–921.
- Gu Y, Brickman AM, Stern Y, et al. Mediterranean diet and brain structure in a multiethnic elderly cohort. Neurology 2015;85:1744–1751.
- Mosconi L, Murray J, Tsui WH, et al. Mediterranean diet and magnetic resonance imaging-assessed brain atrophy in cognitively normal individuals at risk for Alzheimer's disease. J Prev Alzheimers Dis 2014;1:23–32.
- Titova OE, Ax E, Brooks SJ, et al. Mediterranean diet habits in older individuals: associations with cognitive functioning and brain volumes. Exp Gerontol 2013;48: 1443–1448.
- Deary IJ, Gow AJ, Taylor MD, et al. The Lothian Birth Cohort 1936: a study to examine influences on cognitive ageing from age 11 to age 70 and beyond. BMC Geriatr 2007;7:28.
- Wardlaw JM, Bastin ME, Valdes Hernandez MC, et al. Brain aging, cognition in youth and old age and vascular disease in the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936: rationale, design and methodology of the imaging protocol. Int J Stroke 2011;6:547–559.
- Jia X, Craig LC, Aucott LS, Milne AC, McNeill G. Repeatability and validity of a food frequency questionnaire in free-living older people in relation to cognitive function. J Nutr Health Aging 2008;12:735–741.
- Masson LF, McNeill G, Tomany JO, et al. Statistical approaches for assessing the relative validity of a food-frequency questionnaire: use of correlation coefficients and the kappa statistic. Public Health Nutr 2003;6: 313–321.
- Trichopoulou A, Costacou T, Bamia C, Trichopoulos D. Adherence to a Mediterranean diet and survival in a Greek population. N Engl J Med 2003;348:2599–2608.
- Luciano M, Gow AJ, Harris SE, et al. Cognitive ability at age 11 and 70 years, information processing speed, and APOE variation: the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936 study. Psychol Aging 2009;24:129–138.
- Nelson HE, Willison JR. National Adult Reading Test (NART) Test Manual (Part II). Windsor, UK: NFER-Nelson; 1991.
- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. "Mini-mental state": a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res 1975;12: 189–198.
- Ducharme S, Albaugh MD, Nguyen TV, et al. Trajectories of cortical thickness maturation in normal brain development: the importance of quality control procedures. Neuroimage 2016;125:267–279.
- Dykiert D, Deary IJ. Retrospective validation of WTAR and NART scores as estimators of prior cognitive ability using the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936. Psychol Assess 2013;25:1361–1366.
- Corley J, Starr JM, McNeill G, Deary IJ. Do dietary patterns influence cognitive function in old age? Int Psychogeriatr 2013;25:1393–1407.
- Ritchie SJ, Dickie DA, Cox SR, et al. Brain volumetric changes and cognitive ageing during the eighth decade of life. Hum Brain Mapp 2015;36:4910–4925.
- Fan M, Liu B, Zhou Y, et al. Cortical thickness is associated with different apolipoprotein E genotypes in healthy elderly adults. Neurosci Lett 2010;479:332–336.
- Wishart HA, Saykin AJ, McAllister TW, et al. Regional brain atrophy in cognitively intact adults with a single APOE epsilon4 allele. Neurology 2006;67:1221–1224.
- Raji CA, Erickson KI, Lopez OL, et al. Regular fish consumption and age-related brain gray matter loss. Am J Prev Med 2014;47:444–451.
- Pelletier A, Barul C, Feart C, et al. Mediterranean diet and preserved brain structural connectivity in older subjects. Alzheimers Dement 2015;11:1023–1031.
- Jacques PF, Tucker KL. Are dietary patterns useful for understanding the role of diet in chronic disease? Am J Clin Nutr 2001;73:1–2.
- Ortega R. Importance of functional foods in the Mediterranean diet. Public Health Nutr 2006;9:1136–1140.
- McDaniel MA. Big-brained people are smarter: a meta-analysis of the relationship between in vivo brain volume and intelligence. Intelligence 2005;33:337–346.
- Brouwer RM, Hedman AM, van Haren NE, et al. Heritability of brain volume change and its relation to intelligence. Neuroimage 2014;100:676–683.
- Peper JS, Brouwer RM, Boomsma DI, Kahn RS, Hulshoff Pol HE. Genetic influences on human brain structure: a review of brain imaging studies in twins. Hum Brain Mapp 2007;28:464–473.

# Neurology®

## **Mediterranean-type diet and brain structural change from 73 to 76 years in a Scottish cohort**

Michelle Luciano, Janie Corley, Simon R. Cox, et al.

*Neurology* published online January 4, 2017

DOI 10.1212/WNL.0000000000003559

**This information is current as of January 4, 2017**

<b>Updated Information &amp; Services</b>	including high resolution figures, can be found at: <a href="http://www.neurology.org/content/early/2017/01/04/WNL.0000000000003559.full.html">http://www.neurology.org/content/early/2017/01/04/WNL.0000000000003559.full.html</a>
<b>Supplementary Material</b>	Supplementary material can be found at: <a href="http://www.neurology.org/content/suppl/2017/01/04/WNL.0000000000003559.DC1.html">http://www.neurology.org/content/suppl/2017/01/04/WNL.0000000000003559.DC1.html</a>
<b>Subspecialty Collections</b>	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): <b>Cohort studies</b> <a href="http://www.neurology.org/cgi/collection/cohort_studies">http://www.neurology.org/cgi/collection/cohort_studies</a> <b>MRI</b> <a href="http://www.neurology.org/cgi/collection/mri">http://www.neurology.org/cgi/collection/mri</a> <b>Risk factors in epidemiology</b> <a href="http://www.neurology.org/cgi/collection/risk_factors_in_epidemiology">http://www.neurology.org/cgi/collection/risk_factors_in_epidemiology</a>
<b>Permissions &amp; Licensing</b>	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: <a href="http://www.neurology.org/misc/about.xhtml#permissions">http://www.neurology.org/misc/about.xhtml#permissions</a>
<b>Reprints</b>	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: <a href="http://www.neurology.org/misc/addir.xhtml#reprintsus">http://www.neurology.org/misc/addir.xhtml#reprintsus</a>

*Neurology*® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2017 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.

